



Factors Associated with Glycaemic Control among Diabetic Subjects in Essaouira Province, Morocco: A Cross-Sectional Study

**Khaoula Houguig ^{a*}, Samia Rkha ^a, Mahassine Rayadi ^b
and Nadia Ouzennou ^{a,c}**

^a *Pharmacology, Neurobiology, Anthropobiology and Environment Laboratory, Department of Biology, Faculty of Sciences Semlalia, Cadi Ayyad University, Marrakech, Morocco.*

^b *Endocrinology Service, Sidi Mohamed Ben Abdellah Hospital, Essaouira, Morocco.*

^c *ISPITS, Higher Institute of Nursing and Technical Health, Marrakech, Morocco.*

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JPRI/2021/v33i60B34620

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/79769>

Original Research Article

**Received 15 October 2021
Accepted 18 December 2021
Published 21 December 2021**

ABSTRACT

Aims: The objectives of this study are to determine the frequency of poor glycaemic control, defined by a glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) rate $\geq 7\%$ in diabetic subjects in the province of Essaouira (Morocco), and to identify the associations between poor glycaemic control and socio-demographic, psychosocial, and pathology-related factors in the diabetic subject.

Study Design: This is a quantitative analytical cross-sectional study.

Methodology : A face-to-face interview was conducted with 522 diabetic subjects followed at 12 health centers, 4 urban and 8 rural, in the province of Essaouira, between January and December 2020

Results: The mean age of the subjects was 57 years, 78% female and 22% male. HbA1c was $\geq 7\%$ in 60% of cases. Rural residence (OR=2.75, 95% CI: 1.86-4.05), poor observance of medication (OR=5.57, 95% CI: 3.07-10.11), poor observance of hygiene and dietary rules (OR=4.1, 95% CI: 2.76-6.11), duration of diabetes more than 8,4 years (OR=1.96, 95% CI: 1.35-2.85), low monthly income (OR=1.96, 95% CI: 1.35-2.85), were statistically associated with poor glycaemic control. On the other hand, being a member of a diabetes association (OR=2, 95% CI: 1.31-3.06) and

*Corresponding author: E-mail: khaoula.houguig@gmail.com;

having good support from family and friends (OR=1.96, 95% CI: 1.35-2.85) have positively influence glycaemic control.

Conclusion: More than half of the diabetic subjects had a poor glycaemic control, the diabetic subjects living in rural areas, having a poor observance of medication, having a poor observance of hygiene and dietary rules and having a duration of evolution of the disease more than 8,4 years are more susceptible to have glycaemic imbalance.

Keywords: *Diabetes; glycaemic control; place of residence; observance of medication; observance of hygiene and dietary rules; duration of diabetes.*

1. INTRODUCTION

The global prevalence of diabetes in adults has been increasing over recent decades at an alarming pace [1]. In 2017, 451 million adults (ages 18–99 years) lived with diabetes in worldwide. This number was predicted to rise to 693 million by 2045 [2]. There is no country in the world that does not bear some burden from diabetes [1]. According to the International Diabetes Federation (IFD), in 2013, the most populous countries recorded the largest number of deaths: 1271,000 in China, 1065,000 deaths in India, 386,400 in Indonesia, 197,300 in the Russian Federation and 192,700 in the United States of America [3]. Increases in type 2 diabetes incidence generally correlate in general with the globalisation, nutrition transition, increasingly sedentary lifestyles, the type of urban growth, etc. [4,5,6]. Obesity, age, family history, environmental and psychosocial factors, are some risk factors [7,8,9]. It is the leading cause end-stage kidney disease, blindness and lower limb amputation, and the sixth leading cause of death [10].

The situation in Morocco is as alarming as at the global level. Some national surveys showed the prevalence of type 2 diabetes in Moroccan adults aged 20 years and older varied from 6.6% in 2000 to 12.4% in 2016 [11]. According to the STEPWISE survey - Ministry of Health 2017-2018- Diabetes is the first cause of blindness, the first cause of end-stage renal disease, the first cause of lower limb amputations [10]. Half of all Moroccan diabetics are disregard of their disease and the severity of the complications it causes [10]. However, effective management will decrease the incidence of these complications [12]. Indeed, Diabetes is a metabolic and nutritional pathology, it occurs as a result of a defect in insulin secretion, insulin action or both [13]. Insulin is what lowers blood glucose levels during the course of the metabolic processes following digestion. In recent years, several studies have examined the impact of glycaemic

control on the occurrence of complications. The reduction in the risk of developing nephropathy, retinopathy and neuropathy as well as their slowing down was confirmed in the DCCT study [14,15], while the UKPDS study showed a 37% reduction in the risk of microvascular complications, 14% reduction in myocardial infarction and 21% reduction in diabetes-related deaths for each 1% reduction in glycated haemoglobin [16]. Several studies have also evaluated the factors that can influence the glycaemic control, these factors can be related to the socio-demographic or psychosocial profile of the diabetic subject or to the characteristics related to the pathology [17,18,19,20,21]. To our knowledge no study has identified the factors associated with poor glycaemic control in Essaouira province. The objective of our study is to determine the frequency of poor glycaemic control, defined by a glycated haemoglobin level (HbA1c) $\geq 7.0\%$ in diabetic subjects in the province of Essaouira and to determine the associations between poor glycaemic control and sociodemographic, psychosocial and pathology-related factors. Identifying these factors can help healthcare professionals guide diabetic subjects to achieve desired glycaemic control and thereby reduce disease-related complications and promote health.

2. SUBJECTS AND METHODS

2.1 Study Area

The present study took place in the health centres of the province of Essaouira. Essaouira is a small coastal city located in the southwest of the Marrakech-Safi region. The economic activity of the province is largely directed at tourism and fishing, the main providers of income and employment in urban areas, while in rural areas, it is based primarily on goat breeding, grain farming and the exploitation of Argan trees [22]. The activity rate in the province is estimated at 45.7% [23]. In terms of education, 48.9% of the population is illiterate [24]. Despite concerted

efforts to upgrade it (development of rural tourism [25], Argan cooperatives [26], etc.), Essaouira is among the most vulnerable provinces in the region (a vulnerability rate of 22.2% [27]).

2.2 Study Design

A cross-sectional survey included 522 diabetic subjects managed at 12 health centres level (4 urban and 8 rural in Essaouira province) between January and December 2020 to identify the factors associated with poor glycaemic control. The health centres provide a basket of health care: monitoring chronic diseases, general medical consultations, nursing services, medication for chronic diseases such as diabetes hypertension.etc [28] .

Based on a review of the literature, the research team developed a detailed structured questionnaire in French. In order to meet the study objectives and to test the hypotheses, cross-cultural adaptation and development of new indicators were necessary. Prior to the validation of the questionnaire, a pre-test was conducted with twenty diabetic participants which resulted in the elimination of questions that seemed redundant or irrelevant. Prior to the validation of the questionnaire, a pre-test was conducted with twenty diabetic participants which resulted in the elimination of questions that seemed redundant or irrelevant. One hundred and five questions were retained instead of the original 124 questions and were organised into six dimensions (20 questions for the Socio-economic characteristics dimension, 21 questions for the diabetes dimension, 27 questions for the nutrition and eating habits, 19 questions for the physical activity and sedentary lifestyle, 14 questions for the well-being dimension and 4 questions for the Anthropometric measurements dimension). The research team chose a single interviewer (a member of the team) who was fluent in French, Arabic and Berber (the respondents' mother tongue) to conduct a face-to-face interview with diabetic subjects who expressed a positive opinion of participating in the study. The interviewer conducts the interview, using the questionnaire, recording the answers and translating the questions into Arabic or Berber if necessary. The interview takes place in a separate room, so that discussion while respecting the privacy of the subject. The designed questionnaire was used as an instrument to collect detailed data on demographic and socio-economic characteristics

(place of residence, age, gender, marital status, education level, occupations, monthly income, etc.), pathology-related characteristics (type of treatment, duration of diabetes, glycaemic control, comorbidity, complications, etc.), psychosocial characteristics (To be informed about hygiene and dietary rules, member of diabetes association, family support, etc.), the conditions for observance of medication and for observance of hygiene and dietary rules (diet, lifestyle, physical activity).

By referring to the Moroccan Nutrition Guide [29] and to the thematic guide "therapeutic education of type 2 diabetics" [30], the conditions for observance of medication and for observance of hygiene and dietary rules were considered as follows:

- **Observance of medication:** is considered good if the diabetic rigorously follows the drug treatment prescribed without forgetting, changing or stopping it.

- **Observance of hygiene and dietary rules:**

-Diet: based on the seven classic food groups (beverages, fruits and vegetables, cereals, milk and dairy products, meats, fats, sweet products, medicinal plants have been added because of their undesirable effects), this study has established a list of foods that are not recommended for diabetics and it has noted the corresponding consumption conditions. The interviewee is asked concerning the quantities and frequencies of food consumption in the last four weeks.

-Lifestyle: among the advice offered by the guides, this study chose to collect information related to the sleep of the diabetic, the timing of meals and smoking.

-Physical activity: the interviewee is asked about the nature and frequency of sports activity.

The specificities and conditions of observance of each hygiene and dietary rule have been summarised in table 1. The diabetic subject is considered "observant" if he respects all the conditions of each rule, otherwise, he is "non-observant".

The diabetic subject is considered as "observant" of all hygiene and dietary rules if he respects at least two of the three rules (diet, lifestyle and physical activity).

Table 1. Conditions for respecting the hygiene and dietary rules

| Hygiene and dietary rules | Specificity | Conditions for respecting the hygiene and dietary rules | | |
|---------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Diet | Beverages | Tea and coffee Lemonades and alcoholic beverages | If they are consumed without sugar If they are not consumed | The " diet " rule is considered to be observed if all conditions are completed |
| | Fruits and vegetables | Fruits and vegetables with a high glycaemic index | In case of poor glycaemic control (HbA1c>7%): if they are not consumed In case of good glycaemic control (HbA1c<7%): if consumed on an occasional basis | |
| | Meats | Red Meats | If their consumption does not exceed two times a week. | |
| | Fats | Animal fats Frying | If they are not consumed If they are not consumed | |
| | Sweet products | Products with a high glycaemic index | In case of poor glycaemic control (HbA1c>7%): if they are not consumed In case of good glycaemic control (HbA1c<7%): if consumed on an occasional basis | |
| | Medicinal plants | | If they are not consumed | |
| Lifestyle | Sleep | | If the diabetic reports no sleep disturbances. | The Rule " healthy lifestyle " is considered to be observed if the three conditions are completed |
| | Timing of meals | | If the diabetic reports having meals at set times. | |
| | Smoking | | If the diabetic declares that he does not smoke. | |
| Physical activity | | | The Rule " physical activity " is considered to be observed if the diabetic practices a sports activity at least 3 times a week for 30 minutes each time. | |

2.2.1 Inclusion criteria

Only diabetic subjects who had measured glycated haemoglobin (HbA1c) in the last three months were included in the study.

2.2.2 Exclusion criteria

Diabetic subjects under 18 years of age and pregnant women with diabetes have been excluded from the study.

2.3 Statistical Analysis

Data acquisition, calculation of percentages, univariate, bivariate analyses, Chi2 tests were realised by the Statistical package for the Social Science (SPSS) version 20. All statistical tests were performed at the 5% significance level. Observations containing at least one missing data item have been removed. Using the analyses conducted by this software, the factors associated with glycaemic control were identified. On the basis of the observed results, the variables that retained in the logistic regression model have been chosen, in order to determine the weight of the factors associated with the glycaemic control. An adjustment of the variables was necessary for the logistic regression model. This survey considered for the age "less than or equal to 60 years old" against "over 60 years old", for the monthly family income "<2000MAD" against "greater than or equal to 2000MAD".

3. RESULTS

3.1 Demographic, Socio-economic and Cultural Characteristics of Respondents

Five hundred and twenty-two diabetic subjects participated in the survey. They were 77.8% female, with a sex ratio of 0.28. The age of the respondents ranged from 20 to 94 years, with a mean of 56.6 years (SD = 11.4 years). Eighty-three percent of the subjects had never attended school; among the literate subjects, 8% had attained secondary education. In addition, 25.3% of the respondents were employed (Table 2).

3.2 Characteristics of Diabetes

Of the total of 522 respondents, 67% had non-insulin-dependent diabetes and more than half were on oral anti-diabetic drugs (59.8%). The mean duration of diabetes was 8.4 years (SD = 6.9 years) (Table 2).

3.3 The rate of Glycated Haemoglobin

In the present study, Only diabetic subjects who had measured glycated haemoglobin (HbA1c) in the last three months were selected. Evaluating glycaemic control noted that 61% of the total study population did not achieve the ADA-recommended goal is HbA1c <7%.

3.4 Factors Associated with Glycaemic Control

The glycaemic control was compared according to the socio-demographic, the psychosocial and pathology-related factors. The variables that were retained were: gender, age, monthly family income, place of residence, duration of diabetes, observance of hygiene and dietary rules (RHD), observance of medication, membership in a diabetes association and Family support. The results showed a statistically significant difference for place of residence, membership in an association, adherence to therapy, adherence to hygienic-dietary rules, duration of the disease, monthly income and family support (Table 3).

Binary logistic regression model results reveal that, in order of importance, glycaemic control is highly associated with therapeutic observance, observance of hygiene and dietary rules, duration of diabetes and the place of residence (Table 4).

4. DISCUSSION

The present study attempted to identify factors that may influence glycaemic control in diabetic subjects followed up at health centres in the province of Essaouira. Results showed first that 61% of the subjects surveyed had not reached the goal recommended by the ADA (American Diabetes Association), i.e. an HbA1c level < 7%. Many epidemiological studies reveal that the glycaemic control is often poor. In Guinea, the frequency of poor glycaemic control was 84% [31], 68% in Cameroon [31], 71% in Ethiopia [32], 83% in Tunisia [33] and 61% in Jordan [34]. Good glycaemic control can only influence the health of the diabetic by minimizing the risk of developing degenerative complications of diabetes. Several studies have examined the impact of glycaemic control on the occurrence of complications. The reduction in the risk of developing nephropathy, retinopathy and neuropathy as well as their slowing down was confirmed in the DCCT study [14,15], while the UKPDS study showed a 37% reduction in the risk of microvascular

Table 2. Description of the study population (n=522)

| Socio-demographic characteristics | | Effective | % | |
|------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|----------|------|
| Socio-demographic characteristics | Age groups (years) | <40 | 40 | 7.7 |
| | | [40-60] | 297 | 56.9 |
| | | >60 | 185 | 35.4 |
| | Instruction level | Illiterate | 432 | 82.8 |
| | | Primary school | 48 | 9.2 |
| | | High school and university | 42 | 8.0 |
| | professional activity | SPC1 | 390 | 74.7 |
| | | SPC2 | 118 | 22.6 |
| | | SPC3 | 12 | 2.3 |
| | | SPC4 | 2 | 0.4 |
| | monthly family income | <2 000 MAD | 454 | 87.0 |
| | | [2 000-4 000 MAD] | 47 | 9.0 |
| | | >4 000 MAD | 20 | 3.8 |
| | | Married | 376 | 72.0 |
| Marital Status | Divorced | 28 | 5.4 | |
| | Widowed | 100 | 19.2 | |
| | Single | 18 | 3.4 | |
| place of residence | Urban | 322 | 61.7 | |
| | Rural | 200 | 38.3 | |
| characteristics of diabetes | Type of diabetes | NIDD | 349 | 66.9 |
| | | IDD | 173 | 33.1 |
| | Treatment | OAD | 320 | 59.8 |
| | | Insulin | 178 | 34.1 |
| | | without treatment | 24 | 6.1 |
| | Duration of diabetes (years) | <8.4 | 313 | 60.0 |
| | | ≥8.4 | 209 | 40.0 |
| | Glycaemic control | HbAc1<7% | 202 | 38.7 |
| | | HbAc1≥7% | 320 | 61.3 |
| | Co-morbidities | No comorbidities | 266 | 51.0 |
| | | HBP | 131 | 25.1 |
| | | Dyslipidemia | 56 | 10.7 |

| | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|------------------|------|------|
| Psychosocial characteristics | Complications | Others/several | 69 | 13.2 |
| | | No complications | 302 | 57.9 |
| | | Retinopathy | 54 | 10.3 |
| | | Nephropathy | 10 | 1.9 |
| | Neuropathy | 17 | 3.3 | |
| | To be informed about HDR | Others/several | 139 | 26.6 |
| | | Yes | 68 | 13 |
| | | No | 454 | 87 |
| | Family support | Yes | 405 | 77,6 |
| | | No | 117 | 22,4 |
| | Member of a diabetes association | Yes | 384 | 73,6 |
| No | | 138 | 26,4 | |

Note: SPC: socio-professional categories: SPC1: no occupation; SPC2: : artisans, employees, workers, shop assistants, farmers, employed workers, labourers, drivers... ;

SPC3 : officials, middle-level professionals...; SPC 4 : liberal professions, higher management, major merchants...

NIDD: non-insulin-dependent diabetes; IDD: insulin-dependent diabetes; OAD: oral antidiabetic drugs; HBP: high blood pressure, HDR: hygiene and dietary rules

Table 3. Factors associated with glycaemic control (n=522)

| Variables | Glycaemic level | | Test χ^2 | |
|------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|---------------------|
| | Good (HbAc1<7%) n(%) | Poor (HbAc1≥7%) n(%) | | |
| | 204(39,1) | 318(60,9) | | |
| Gender | Female | 161(39,7) | 245(60,3) | 0,2 ^{NS} |
| | Male | 43(37,1) | 73(62,9) | |
| Age groups (years) | <40 | 10(25) | 30(75) | 3,6 ^{NS} |
| | [40-60] | 120(40,4) | 177(59,6) | |
| | >60 | 74(40) | 111(60) | |
| Monthly family income | <2000Dh | 173(37,4) | 290(62,6) | 11,4 ^{**} |
| | [2000-4000Dh] | 19(43,2) | 25(56,8) | |
| | >4000Dh | 12(80) | 3(20) | |
| Place of residence | urbain | 154(47,8) | 168(52,2) | 27 ^{***} |
| | rural | 50(25) | 150(75) | |
| Duration of diabetes | <8,4ans | 142(45,4) | 171(54,6) | 12,9 ^{***} |
| | ≥8,4ans | 62(29,7) | 147(70,3) | |
| Therapeutic observance | Good | 174(44,8) | 214(55,2) | 37,6 ^{***} |
| | Poor | 14(12,7) | 96(87,3) | |
| Observance of hygiene and dietary rules | Good | 159(52) | 147(48) | 51,5 ^{***} |
| | Poor | 45(20,8) | 171(79,2) | |
| Membership in a diabetes association | Yes | 166(43,2) | 218(56,8) | 10,5 ^{***} |
| | No | 38(27,5) | 100(72,5) | |
| Family support | Good | 171(42,2) | 234(57,8) | 7,4 ^{**} |
| | Poor | 33(28,2) | 84(71,8) | |

* $p<0,05$; ** $p<0,01$; *** $p<0,001$; NS: non significatif

Table 3. Factors associated with glycaemic control (n=522)

| | A | E.S. | Wald | ddl | Sig. | Exp(B) |
|-------------------------------------------------------|----------|-------------|-------------|------------|-------------|---------------|
| Place of residence (urbain vs rural) | 1,200 | 0,359 | 11,176 | 1 | 0,001 | 3,318 |
| Therapeutic observance (good vs poor) | 1,747 | 0,326 | 28,624 | 1 | 0,000 | 5,736 |
| Observance of hygiene and dietary rules(good vs poor) | -1,426 | 0,230 | 38,319 | 1 | 0,000 | 0,240 |
| Duration of diabetes(<8,4ans vs ≥8,4ans) | 0,719 | 0,220 | 10,695 | 1 | 0,001 | 2,052 |
| Membership in a diabetes association(yes vs no) | 0,050 | 0,390 | 0,016 | 1 | 0,898 | 1,051 |
| Monthly family income(<2000MAD vs ≥2000MAD) | -0,373 | 0,344 | 1,173 | 1 | 0,279 | 0,689 |
| Family support (good vs poor) | 0,401 | 0,272 | 2,177 | 1 | 0,140 | 1,493 |
| Constante | -3,403 | 1,048 | 10,539 | 1 | 0,001 | 0,033 |

A : coefficient de régression, ES : erreur standard, wald: statistique de odds ratio, rapport de côte, ddl : degré de liberté, p : probabilité, Exp(B): odds ratio estimé

complications, 14% reduction in myocardial infarction and 21% reduction in diabetes-related deaths for each 1% reduction in glycated haemoglobin [16]. In the present study, we noted that diabetic subjects with poor therapeutic observance were five times more susceptible to have glycaemic imbalance. This same finding was reported in a study conducted in Ethiopia [32]. In addition, diabetic subjects with poor observance of hygiene and dietary rules were four times more susceptible to have glycaemic imbalance. A study conducted in the north of the country reported a correlation between poor glycaemic control and unsatisfactory dietary habits [35]. Moreover, non-observance of hygiene and dietary rules can only have serious repercussions on glycaemic imbalance and consequently on the health status of the diabetic in the short and long term [36,37]. In addition, the concordance between a rigorous follow-up therapy and strict hygiene-dietary modifications is advocated to improve glycaemic control and, consequently, minimize complications [38]. This can only be achieved by taking into consideration all the factors influencing observance, namely, the socio-cultural and psychosocial profile of the diabetic subject, the therapeutic conditions and the care provider [37]. However, it is recommended that health professionals simplify and adapt their medical and hygiene-dietary discourse according to the profile of diabetic and that local and regional authorities encourage and finance diabetes associations in view of their role in the development of therapeutic education. In fact, in the present study, diabetic subjects who were members of a diabetes association were twice as likely to be balanced. Reporting that the social services offered by the association of diabetes are to accompany the members, to organize days of awareness on the disease and the importance of hygiene and dietary advice and the realization of medical analysis (fasting blood sugar and glycated haemoglobin). Thus, in the province studied, only one association offers diabetes services to its members, who are predominantly urban (83%), which could influence glycaemic control in rural areas. Indeed, in the present study, subjects residing in rural areas were three times more susceptible to be unbalanced. As in all developing countries, in Morocco, belonging to a rural area is associated with a precarious and vulnerable life, a low level of education, difficulty in accessing healthcare, and a lack of specialists and equipment at health care facilities [39,40]. Thus, in the health centres visited in the present study, there is even a lack of general practitioners and sometimes

shortages of anti-diabetic drugs, which will have a direct impact on therapeutic education, hygiene and dietary education, therapeutic observance and hygiene and dietary observance. This will undoubtedly influence glycaemic control.

It was noted that diabetic subjects with a duration of diabetes greater than 8.4 years are twice as susceptible to have a glycaemic imbalance. Other studies have also noted a positive correlation between glycaemic imbalance and the duration of diabetes [31,33,41,42,43]. Verma et al. showed that HbA1c levels increase significantly with the duration of diabetes [44]. Over time, maintaining beneficial lifestyle habits for disease management becomes difficult. In addition, the body becomes more resistant to insulin as diabetes progresses [34]. According to our results, no significant correlation was found between age and glycaemic control. The same finding was demonstrated in the study of M Verma et al. [44]. In contrast, some studies have shown that glycaemic imbalance is associated with young age [31,34]. E Barankanira et al. reported, however, that glycaemic imbalance is observed in subjects aged 40 and over [19]. Our results did not show any significant difference regarding the gender factor. The same finding was demonstrated in the study conducted by E Barankanira et al. [19] in contrast to other studies that showed that poor glycaemic control is significantly higher in women than in men [32,45,46].

5. CONCLUSION

Monitoring blood glucose fluctuations is a key factor in diabetes management. Identifying factors associated with glycaemic control can help health professionals to guide diabetic subjects to achieve desired glycaemic control and thereby reduce disease-related complications and promote health.

CONSENT AND ETHICS APPROVAL

The survey was conducted in full respect of local ethical considerations, namely obtaining authorisation [N°8874] from the regional and local services of the Moroccan Ministry of Health. The project was approved by the ethics committee (Moroccan Association for Research and Ethics). After informing the diabetic subjects of the purpose of this research, it has sought their consent and agreement to participate in the study. All participants gave their informed

consent and all data were collected anonymously.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Guariguata L. By the numbers: New estimates from the IDF Diabetes Atlas Update for 2012. *Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice*. 2012;98(3):524-525. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diabres.2012.11.006>
2. Choa NH, Shawb JE, Karurangaa S, Huang Y, Da Rocha JD, Fernandes AW, et al. IDF Diabetes Atlas. Global estimates of diabetes prevalence for 2017 and projections for 2045. *Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice*. 2018; 271–281. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diabres.2018.02.023>
3. International Diabetes Federation (IDF). Diabetes Atlas Group. Update of mortality attributable to diabetes for the IDF Diabetes Atlas: Estimates for the year 2013. *Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice*. 2015;109(3):461-5. DOI: 10.1016/j.diabres.2015.05.037
4. Gassasse Z, Smith D, Finer S, V Gallo. Association between urbanisation and type 2 diabetes: an ecological study. *BMJ Glob Health*. 2017;2(4):e000473. DOI: 10.1136/bmjgh-2017-000473
5. Yanling W, Ding Y, Tanaka Y, Zhang W. Risk Factors Contributing to Type 2 Diabetes and Recent Advances in the Treatment and Prevention. *International Journal of Medical Science*. 2014; 11(11): 1185–1200. DOI: 10.7150/ijms.10001
6. Hu FB. Globalization of Diabetes. The role of diet, lifestyle, and genes. *Diabetes Care*, 2011; 34(6): 1249–1257. Available: <https://doi.org/10.2337/dc11-0442>
7. Bellou V, Belbasis L, Tzoulaki I, Evangelou E. Risk factors for type 2 diabetes mellitus: An exposure-wide umbrella review of meta-analyses. *Plos One*; 2018. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0194127>
8. Fletcher B, Gulanick M, Lamendola C. Risk Factors for Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. *The Journal of Cardiovascular Nursing*, 2002; 16(2):17-23.
9. Rana JS, Li TY, Manson JE, and Hu FB. Adiposity Compared With Physical Inactivity and Risk of Type 2 Diabetes in Women. *American Diabetes Association. Diabetes Care*. 2007;30(1):53-58. Available: <https://doi.org/10.2337/dc06-1456>
10. Ministère de la santé (Maroc). Rapport de l'enquête nationale sur les facteurs de risque communs des maladies non transmissibles, Steps ; 2017-2018.
11. Chetoui A, Kaoutar K, El Kardoudi A, Boutahar K, Chigr F, Najimi M. Epidemiology of diabetes in Morocco: Review of data, analysis and perspectives. *International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research*. 2018;9(12):2229-5518.
12. Farouqi A, Harti A, Nejari C. Management of diabetes in Morocco: Results of the International Diabetes Management Practices Study (IDMPS) – Wave 2. *Médecine des Maladies Métaboliques*. 2010;4(6):704-711. Available: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1957-2557\(10\)70169-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1957-2557(10)70169-3)
13. American Diabetes Association. Diagnosis and Classification of Diabetes Mellitus. *Diabetes Care*. 2005;28(1). DOI: 10.2337/dc07-S042
14. The Diabetes Control and Complications (DCCT) Research group. Effect of intensive therapy on the development and progression of diabetic nephropathy in the Diabetes Control and Complications Trial. *Kidney International*. 1995;47:1703-1720. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1038/ki.1995.236>
15. Sun S, Hisland L, Grenet G, Gueyffier F, Cornu C, Jaafari N, Boussageon R. Reappraisal of the efficacy of intensive glycaemic control on microvascular complications in patients with type 2 diabetes: a meta-analysis of randomised control-trials. *Therapies*, Available online 21 October 2021. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.therap.2021.10.002>
16. Stratton IM, Adler AI, Neil HA, Neil DR, Matthews S, Manley CE, Cull CA, et al. Association of glycaemia with macrovascular and microvascular complications of type 2 diabetes (UKPDS 35): prospective observational study. *BMJ*. 2020;321.

- DOI: 10.1136/bmj.321.7258.405
17. Séré L, Tiéno H , Yanogo D, Traoré S, Nagabila Y, Ouédraogo DD, Drabo YJ. Prevalence of diabetes and diabetes-related cardiovascular risk factors in a rural population in Burkina Faso. *Médecine Tropicale et santé Internationale*; 2021. DOI : 10.48327/B1J8-7K63
 18. Selihi Z, Berraho M, K El Rhazi , S Keita , C Nejjari. Prescriptions antidiabétiques et contrôle glycémique chez les diabétiques de type 2, Fès, Maroc. *Revue d'Épidémiologie et de Santé Publique* . 2014 ;S213–S254
Available: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.respe.2014.06.188>
 19. E Barankanira, A Iradukunda and N Ntakaburimvo. Facteurs déterminants du mauvais contrôle glycémique au Burundi. *Afrique Science*. 2019;15(5):74 -87,74.
Available: <http://www.afriquescience.net>
 20. Bauduceau B, Chuang L, Chen J, Litwak L, El Naggar N, Güler S. Facteurs associés à un meilleur contrôle glycémique : résultats de l'étude observationnelle A1chieve. *Diabetes and Metabolism*. Elsevier Masson.2014;40(1):A98.
Available: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1262-3636\(14\)72591-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1262-3636(14)72591-1)
 21. Tsiouli E, Alexopoulos EC, C Stefanaki, C Darviri, GP. Chrousos. Effets du stress familial lié au diabète sur le contrôle glycémique chez les jeunes diabétiques de type 1. *Canadian Family Physician*. 2013; 59(2):e75-e82.
Available: <https://www.cfp.ca/content/59/2/e75/tab-figures-data>
 22. Amine K, Bonnart X, Gratecap JB, Herbillon C, Jaecque A, Ménard L et al. Prospective territoriale et environnementale en pays de Haha, Province d'Essaouira. *Mastère Spécialisé Forêt, Nature et Société*. École Nationale du Génie Rural, des Eaux et des Forêts. Module de Sciences Humaines et Sociales.2009.
 23. Ministère de l'Intérieur, Direction Générale des Collectivités Locales(Maroc). *Monographie générale*; 2015.
 24. Haut Commissariat du Plan (Maroc). *Indicateurs RGPH* ; 2014.
 25. Nakhli S. L'arrière pays d'Essaouira: d'une touristification spontanée à une mise en tourisme planifiée: Etat des lieux. *Tourism & Territories*. 2015;4.
 26. El Gazzar A. L'entrepreneuriat d'intérêt collectif au service de développement durable au Maroc: cas des coopératives féminines arganières de la province d'Essaouira. *Repères et Perspectives Economiques*. 2018; 2:56-74
 27. Haut Commissariat du Plan (Maroc). *Carte-de-pauvrete-monetaire-par-province-2014_a1944*.
 28. Ministère de la Santé(Maroc). <https://fr.slideshare.net/adilnadam/le-systme-de-sant-marocain-196169116>
 29. Ministère de la santé(Maroc). *Guide Marocain de Nutrition*; 2016.
 30. Ministère de la santé(Maroc). *Guide thématique: Éducation thérapeutique des diabétiques de type 2* .
 31. A Camara¹, NMa Balde¹, E Sobngwi, MM Diallo, S Limen, A Diallo, and al. Facteurs associés au mauvais contrôle glycémique chez 1 267 diabétiques de type 2 en Afrique Subsaharienne. *Diabetes and Metabolism*. Elsevier Masson. 2013;39(1): A80
Available: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1262-3636\(13\)71961-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1262-3636(13)71961-X)
 32. Kassahun T, Eshetie T, Gesesew H. Factors associated with glycemic control among adult patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: a cross-sectional survey in Ethiopia. *BMC Research Notes*; 2016.
Available: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13104-016-1896-7>
 33. Ben Abdelaziz A, I Soltane, K Gaha, H Thabet, HABET, H Tlili and al. Predictive factors of glycemic control in type 2 diabetes mellitus patients in primary health care. *Revue d'Épidémiologie et de Santé Publique*.2006;54(5):443-452
Available: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0398-7620\(06\)76742-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0398-7620(06)76742-6)
 34. AL-Eitan LN, Nassar AM, Saadeh NA, Almomani BA. Evaluation of Glycemic Control, Lifestyle and Clinical Characteristics in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes Treated at King Abdullah University Hospital in Jordan. *Canadian Journal of Diabetes*.2016;40(6):496-502
Available: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcjd.2016.04.009>
 35. Ben El Mostafa S, Zitouni N, Boutayeb W, Maamri A. Facteurs associés au mauvais contrôle glycémique chez des diabétiques de type 2 au Nord-Est du Maroc : à propos de 80 cas. *Annales des Sciences de la Santé*. 2019;21(1).
 36. Nathan DM, Genuth S, Lachin J, Cleary P, Crofford O, Davis M, et al. The effect of intensive treatment of diabetes on the

- development and progression of long-term complications in insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 1993;329(14):977-986.
DOI: 10.1056/NEJM199309303291401.
37. Paquot N. Deleterious effects of lack of compliance to lifestyle and medication in diabetic patients. *Revue Médicale de Liège* 2010;65:326-331.
Available: <http://hdl.handle.net/2268/90451>
38. Bouhanick B, Barigou M, Kantambadouno JB, Chamontin B. Contrôle glycémique et complications liées au diabète : que faut-il en penser ? *Épidémiologie, données des principaux essais cliniques et méta-analyses*. *La Presse Médicale*. 2013;42: 849–854.
Available:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.lpm.2013.02.315>
39. Touhami A. *Le RDAD: Profil et Stratégies de Lutte Contre la Pauvreté au Maroc: Etat des Lieux. Le Programme des Nations Unies pour le Développement Défis Arabes du Développement*; 2011.
40. Zaouaq K. Les femmes et l'accès aux soins de santé reproductive au Maroc. *Open Edition Journals*. 2017;169-183
41. Manjunatha G, Bhavna N, Sarsina D, Sathisha TG, Shivashanker D. Relation of calculated HbA1C with fasting plasma glucose and duration of diabetes. *International Journal of Applied Biology and Pharmaceutical Technology*. 2011; 2(2):58.
42. Rao GM, Morghom LO, Abukhris AA, Mansori FA, Alphgih LY . Glycosylated haemoglobin and blood glucose levels in Libyan diabetic patients. *Trop Geogr Med*.1986;38(4):391-7.
43. Shera AS, Jawad F, Maqsood A, Jamal S, Azfar M, Ahmed U. Prevalence of chronic complications and associated factors in type 2 diabetes. *Journal of Pakistan Medical Association*. 2004;54(2):54-59.
44. Verma M, Paneri S, Badi P, Raman PG. Effect of increasing duration of diabetes mellitus type 2 on glycated hemoglobin and insulin sensitivity. *Indian Journal of Clinical Biochemistry*. 2006; 21(1):142–146.
DOI: 10.1007/BF02913083
45. Herman WH, Cohen RM. Racial and ethnic differences in the relationship between HbA1c and blood glucose: implications for the diagnosis of diabetes. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2012;97(4):1067–72.
DOI: 10.1210/jc.2011-1894.
46. Valle T, Koivisto VA, Reunanen A, Kangas T, Rissanen A. Glycemic control in patients with diabetes in Finland .*Diabetes Care*. 1999;22(4):575-9.
DOI: 10.2337/diacare.22.4.575.

© 2021 Houguig et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/79769>