

# Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology

Volume 27, Issue 9, Page 1096-1101, 2024; Article no.JABB.121892 ISSN: 2394-1081

# Genetics of Fruit Yield and Its Traits in Okra [Abelmoschus esculentus (L.) Moench]

# Limbani Harsiddhi a++\* and Mehta DR a#

<sup>a</sup> Department of GPB, Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh, India.

#### Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Author LH designed the research and study, performed the statistical analysis, wrote manuscript. Author MDR guided throw-out research work. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Article Information

DOI: https://doi.org/10.9734/jabb/2024/v27i91381

**Open Peer Review History:** 

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here:

https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/121892

Received: 29/06/2024 Accepted: 31/08/2024

Published: 05/09/2024

# Original Research Article

## **ABSTRACT**

A Half-diallel mating design involving nine okra genotypes was used to study the inheritance of fruit yield and its component characters in okra. Data from the  $F_1$  generation and parents were analysed using Hayman's (1954) method of diallel analysis. The estimated component of genetic variation revealed that additive component (D) was significant for number of branches per plant and fruit girth in  $E_1$  and fruit girth in  $E_3$  environment. However, additive component was non-significant in all three environments for fruit yield per plant. The dominant components ( $H_1$  and  $H_2$ ) were significant for all the characters in all the environments. These results revealed the importance of both additive and non-additive component of gene action in the expression of traits under study. The relative magnitude of dominant component ( $H_1$  and  $H_2$ ) was higher than additive component for most of the traits indicating that dominance gene action involved in expression of most of the characters under studied. The average degree of dominance for fruit yield per plant and most of the traits was more

Cite as: Harsiddhi, Limbani, and Mehta DR. 2024. "Genetics of Fruit Yield and Its Traits in Okra [Abelmoschus Esculentus (L.) Moench]". Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology 27 (9):1096-1101. https://doi.org/10.9734/jabb/2024/v27i91381.

<sup>++</sup> Ph.D. Scholar;

<sup>#</sup> Professor and Head;

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: E-mail: limbani.hari111@gmail.com;

than unity in all the three environments which suggested over dominance effect in expression of all the traits. The proportion of genes with positive and negative effects in parents was deviated from 0.25 in all three environments suggesting asymmetrical distribution of positive as well as negative alleles among the parents for fruit yield per plant. The ratio of dominant and recessive genes in the parents were also greater than unity in all the three environments, indicated excess of dominant genes were present in the parents for fruit yield per plant. In the presence of overdominance for yield, reciprocal recurrent selection is the best recurrent scheme to develop hybrids.

Keywords: Okra; diallel analysis; additive component; reciprocal recurrent selection.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Okra [Abelomoschus esculentus (L.) Moench] commonly known as Bhindi belongs to the family Malvaceae. It is a warm season vegetable in the tropical and subtropical countries of the world. It is an often cross pollinated crop. The immature young seed pods are the edible part of this plant. which are consumed as cooked vegetable, mostly fresh but sometimes sun-dried. Okra is gaining importance with regard to its nutritional, medicinal, and industrial value. Apart from nutritional and health importance, It plays an important role in income generation and subsistence among rural farmers in India. Okra is commercially grown in the Indian states of Maharashtra. Guiarat. Andhra Pradesh. Karnataka and Tamil Nadu. The distinguished position of okra among Indian vegetables can be due to its easy cultivation, regular yield, wider adaptability and year round cultivation. Knowledge about the magnitude and nature of genetic variation in a specific population is prime importance for the effective prediction of most effective breeding programme. The present paper reports the gene effects controlling fruit vield and its component characters in okra.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Nine okra genotypes viz., IC 90107, HRB 108-2, EC 169513, AOL-12-59, NOL-17-9, JOL-11-1, HRB-55, GO-2 and VRO-6 were chosen in this study to represent substantial amount of genetic diversity for different quantitative and quality traits. These were crossed in half-diallel fashion (with parents) excluding reciprocals during Summer, 2021. The resultant 36 F<sub>1</sub> hybrids along with nine parents and one check (GJOH 4) were evaluated in randomized block design with three replications at Instructional farm, Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh (Gujarat) with spacing of 60 x 30 cm during three seasons Early kharif 2021 (E<sub>1</sub>), Kharif 2021 (E<sub>2</sub>) and Late kharif 2021 (E<sub>3</sub>). The observations were recorded on five randomly selected plants from each plot for growth and fruit yield parameters viz., plant height, number of branches per plant, number of nodes per plant, intermodal length, fruit length, fruit girth, ten fruit weight and fruit yield per plant (g). The genetic components of variation from the diallel cross for  $E_1$ ,  $E_2$  and  $E_3$  were worked out according to method given by Hayman [1].

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Hayman [1] derived the expectations for the statistics calculated from the diallel and also the expected values of the components of variations (D, H<sub>1</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>, F, h<sup>2</sup> and E) using the least square technique. The additional statistics needed for the genetic interpretation and the overall information derived from the genetic parameters are detailed in Tables 1 and 2. The additive component (D) was significant for number of branches per plant, fruit girth in E<sub>1</sub> and fruit girth in E<sub>3</sub> environment. The additive component was non-significant in all three environments for fruit yield per plant. On the other hand, the dominant components (H<sub>1</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>) were significant for all the characters in all the environments. These results revealed that importance of both additive and non-additive component of gene action in expression of traits under studied. The relative magnitude of both dominant components (H<sub>1</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>) were higher than additive component (D) for most the traits indicated that dominance gene action was involved in expression of mostly characters under studied. Similar finding was also reported by Yadav et al. [2] and Rasheed et al. [3]. The overall dominance effect (h2) over all loci in heterozygous phase for all crosses was found non-significant for all the characters except plant height in E2. This indicated recessive genes were more prevailed in the trait inheritance while significant value indicated involvement dominant genes in trait inheritance. The value of (covariance of additive and non-additive effects) was non-significant for all the traits in all environments indicated symmetrical distribution of dominant and recessive genes in parents. The significant estimate of 'E' for plant height and fruit girth in E2 and E3 indicated that the expression of these characters was highly affected by environmental conditions.

Table 1. Estimation of genetic components of variation in okra

S. N.	Comp.	Е	Plant height			No. of bra	nches pe	Number of no	Number of nodes per plant			Internodal length (cm)		
1	D	E <sub>1</sub>	87.43	<u>+</u>	52.09	0.10**	<u>+</u>	0.03	6.42	<u>±</u>	4.07	0.25	<u>+</u>	0.20
		$E_2$	27.56	<u>+</u>	29.06	0.03	+	0.02	5.23	+	5.53	0.33	<u>+</u>	0.17
		$E_3$	19.21	<u>+</u>	24.93	0.03	+	0.03	2.93	+	2.22	0.34	+	0.23
2	H₁	E <sub>1</sub>	410.05**	<u>+</u>	114.96	0.39**	<u>±</u> ±	0.06	42.76**	<u>+</u>	9.00	1.65**	<u>±</u> ±	0.45
		$E_2$	266.41**	<u>+</u>	64.13	0.18**	+	0.05	31.01**	<u>+</u>	5.58	2.04**	<u>+</u>	0.38
		$E_3$	235.84**	<u>+</u>	55.02	0.26**	<u>+</u> +	0.07	19.50**	<u>+</u>	4.90	2.00**	<u>+</u>	0.51
3	$H_2$	E <sub>1</sub>	285.96*	+	98.83	0.31**	+	0.05	31.60**	+	7.73	1.30**	+	0.39
		$E_2$	198.68**	<u>+</u> +	55.13	0.17**	+	0.05	23.92**	<u>+</u> +	4.80	1.65**	<u>+</u> <u>+</u>	0.32
		$E_3$	163.21**	<u>+</u>	47.30	0.23**	+	0.06	13.69**	+	4.21	1.68**		0.44
4	h²	E <sub>1</sub>	115.53	+	66.21	0.02	+	0.04	0.09	+	5.18	0.03	+	0.25
		$E_2^{'}$	100.83*	<u>+</u> +	36.93	0.01	+	0.03	1.90	<u>+</u> + +	3.21	-0.01	<u>+</u> + +	0.21
		$E_3^-$	2.62	<u>+</u>	31.69	0.01	± ± ± ± ± ± ±	0.01	-0.09	<u>+</u>	2.82	0.41	+	0.29
5	F	E <sub>1</sub>	87.67	<u>+</u>	52.09	0.10	+	0.07	5.37	<u>+</u>	9.50	0.56	+	0.47
		$E_2^{\cdot}$	7.48	<u>+</u>	67.78	0.03	+	0.06	3.20	+	5.90	0.68	<u>+</u>	0.40
		$E_3^-$	0.73	<u>+</u>	58.15	0.03	<u>±</u> ±	0.07	5.00	<u>±</u> <u>±</u>	5.18	0.63	+	0.54
3	E	E <sub>1</sub>	28.65	<u>+</u>	16.47	0.01	<u>+</u>	0.01	0.35	+	1.29	0.06	+	0.06
		$E_2^{\cdot}$	23.93*	<u>+</u>	9.19	0.01	<u>+</u>	0.02	0.39	+	0.80	0.05	+	0.05
		$E_3$	20.84*	+	7.88	0.00	+	0.01	0.25	+	0.70	0.04	+	0.07
<b>S. N.</b> 1	Comp.	Е	Fruit length (cm)		Fruit girth (cm)		Ten fruit weight (g)			Fruit yield per plant (g)				
1	D	E₁	0.46	<u>±</u>	0.48	0.02*	<u>+</u>	0.01	133.61	<u>+</u>	137.15	1117.56	<u>+</u>	568.59
		$E_2$	0.25		1.02	0.01	<u>+</u>	0.01	185.01	<u>+</u>	106.78	1463.34		832.02
		$E_3$	-0.07	<u>±</u> ±	0.75	0.03**	<u>+</u> +	0.01	153.36	<u>+</u>	78.54	1740.90	<u>+</u> +	780.16
2	H₁	Εı	8.32**	<u>+</u>	1.07	0.10**	<u>+</u>	0.02	1414.66**	<u>+</u>	302.72	7747.12**	<u>+</u>	1254.98
		$E_2$	8.38**	<u>+</u>	2.26	0.07**	± ± ± ± ±	0.01	1249.26**	<u>+</u>	235.69	5579.04**	± ± ± ± ±	1836.41
		$E_3$	6.90**	<u>+</u>	1.65	0.07**	<u>+</u>	0.02	1157.27**	<u>+</u>	173.36	5633.38**	<u>+</u>	1721.94
3	H <sub>2</sub>	E₁	6.62**	<u>+</u>	0.92	0.09**	<u>+</u>	0.02	1125.99**	<u>+</u>	260.23	6717.52**	<u>+</u>	1078.82
		$E_2$	5.61*	± ± ±	1.94	0.07**	<u>+</u>	0.01	945.59**	<u>+</u>	202.60	4302.34**	<u>+</u>	1578.64
		$E_3$	5.15**	<u>+</u>	1.42	0.06**	<u>+</u>	0.01	953.38**	<u>+</u>	149.03	4512.39**	<u>+</u>	1480.24
1	h²	Εı	0.24	<u>+</u>	0.61	-0.05	<u>+</u>	0.01	46.24	<u>+</u>	174.33	1031.27	<u>+</u>	722.72
		$E_2$	0.67	<u>+</u>	1.30	-0.01	+	0.01	-9.35	+	135.73	-14.05	+	1057.55
		$E_3$	1.36	<u>+</u>	0.95	-0.01	+	0.01	1.80	+	99.83	-34.98	<u>+</u> +	991.63
5	F	Εı	-0.60	<u>+</u>	1.13	0.01	<u>+</u>	0.02	179.88	+	319.95	288.09	<u>+</u>	1326.41
		$E_2$	0.53	<u>+</u>	2.39	0.01	<u>+</u>	0.01	330.95	<u>+</u>	249.10	1486.83	<u>+</u>	1940.94
		$E_3$	-0.02	<u>+</u>	1.75	0.03	<u>+</u>	0.02	234.21	+	183.22	2036.22	<u>+</u>	1819.95
3	E	E <sub>1</sub>	0.25	<u>+</u>	0.15	0.01*	<u>+</u>	0.001	32.29	+	43.31	296.72	<u>+</u>	179.80
		$E_2^{\cdot}$	0.21	<u>+</u>	0.32	0.01*	<u>+</u>	0.004	24.33	+	33.77	148.32	<u>+</u>	263.11
		Ε̈́з	0.18	+	0.24	0.01*	+	0.002	17.95	+	24.84	95.56	+	246.71

Table 2. Estimation of genetic components of variation in okra

S. N.	ratio	Env.	Plant height	No. of branches per plant	Number of nodes per plant	Internodal length (cm)	Fruit length (cm)	Fruit girth (cm)	Ten fruit weight (g)	Fruit yield per plant
1	(H <sub>1</sub> /D) <sup>1/2</sup>	E₁	2.17	1.96	2.58	2.55	4.24	2.28	3.25	2.63
		$E_2$	3.10	2.63	2.43	2.49	5.80	3.00	2.60	1.95
		$E_3$	3.50	3.03	2.58	2.43	9.75	1.52	2.75	1.80
2	$H_2/4H_1$	E <sub>1</sub>	0.17	0.20	0.19	0.21	0.20	0.23	0.20	0.22
		$E_2$	0.19	0.23	0.18	0.20	0.17	0.23	0.19	0.19
		$E_3$	0.17	0.22	0.18	0.21	0.19	0.21	0.21	0.20
$K_D/K_R$	$K_D/K_R$	E <sub>1</sub>	1.60	1.72	1.39	2.53	0.73	1.18	1.52	1.10
		$E_2$	1.09	1.35	1.28	2.41	1.45	1.22	2.05	1.70
		$E_3$	1.11	1.51	1.99	2.24	0.98	1.87	1.77	1.96
4	$h^2/H_2$	E <sub>1</sub>	0.40	0.05	0.01	0.03	0.04	-0.01	0.04	0.15
		$E_2$	0.51	0.03	0.08	-0.01	0.12	-0.04	-0.01	-0.01
		$E_3$	0.02	0.02	-0.01	0.25	0.26	-0.04	0.01	-0.01
5	Heritability (ns) %	E <sub>1</sub>	38.20	29.70	42.50	5.30	42.00	28.10	27.90	32.00
	, , ,	$E_2$	37.40	17.30	41.70	4.10	43.70	14.40	23.20	33.90
		$E_3$	42.50	16.10	33.70	3.35	36.60	24.70	19.40	25.20

<sup>1. (</sup>H1 /D)½ - Average degree of dominance, 2.  $H_2$  /4H1 – Proportion of alleles with positive and negative effects in parents,

<sup>3.</sup> K<sub>D</sub>/K<sub>R</sub> - Proportion of dominant and recessive alleles in parents, 4. h<sup>2</sup>/H<sub>2</sub> – Number of genes controlling the character and exhibit dominance

Table 3. Genotype details with Source

S.N.	Name of Parents	Name of Source					
1	IC 90107	National Bureau of Plant Genetic Resources, New Delhi					
2	HRB 108-2	Haryana Agricultural University, Hissar					
3	EC 169513	National Bureau of Plant Genetic Resources, New Delhi					
4	AOL-12-59	Anand Agricultural University, Anand					
5	NOL-17-9	Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari					
6	JOL-11-1	Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh					
7	HRB-55	Haryana Agricultural University, Hissar					
8	GO-2	Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh					
9	VRO-6	Indian Institute of Vegetable Research, Varanasi					
10	GJOH-4 (check)	Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh					

The average mean degree of dominance was more than unity for all the traits under studied in all the three environments indicating presence of overdominance for these traits in respective environments. Similar finding was reported by Singh et al. [4]; Vachhani et al. [5] and Mrinmoy et al. [6]. The H<sub>2</sub>/4H1 was less than 0.25 for most of the traits in all the three environments indicated that asymmetrical distribution positive and negative in the parental lines. The asymmetrical distribution also reported Mrinmov et al. [6]. The positive value of 'F' and K<sub>D</sub>/K<sub>R</sub> ratio more than unity (except for fruit length in E<sub>1</sub> and E<sub>3</sub>) showed excess of dominant gene was present in the parents for above traits. The negative value of 'F' and K<sub>D</sub>/K<sub>R</sub> ratio less than unity for rest of the traits revealed that greater number of recessive gene than dominant genes in the parents for these traits. These results were accordance with the result of Maurya et al. [7]. Information about number of gene group which exhibit dominance and are responsible for particular characters is very important for genetic progress. In the present investigation, h2/H2 was less than unity for all the traits in all the three environments indicated not a single gene group present which control these traits. Similar findings were also reported by Singh et al. [4] and Mrinmoy et al. [6]. According to Robinson [8] heritability estimates in cultivated plants can be placed in following categories: low (5-10%), medium (10-30%) and high (30-60%). Low estimate of narrow sense heritability was obtained for internodal length in E<sub>1</sub>, E<sub>2</sub> and E<sub>3</sub>. Low heritability estimates indicated a major role of non-additive gene action in the inheritance of character studied and hence there is a limited scope for genetic improvement through direct selection [9-14]. On the other hand, moderate narrow sense heritability was obtained for fruit yield per plant in E<sub>3</sub>; and number of branches per plant, fruit girth, ten fruit weight and days to last picking in all three environments. Moderate heritability estimates indicated additive as well as

non-additive gene action in the inheritance of characters studied and hence there is a limited scope for genetic improvement through direct selection. The high estimate of narrow sense heritability was estimated for plant height, number of nodes per plant, fruit length in all three environments; fruit yield per plant in  $E_1$  and  $E_2$ . High heritability estimates indicated a major role of additive gene action in the inheritance of characters studied and hence there is a high scope for genetic improvement through direct selection. The results were found in agreement with Singh et al. [4] and Mrinmoy et al. [6].

## 4. CONCLUSION

The results revealed the importance of both additive and non-additive component of gene action in the expression of traits under study. The dominance gene action involved in expression of most of the characters. The ratio of dominant and recessive genes in the parents were also greater than unity in all the three environments, indicated excess of dominant genes were present in the parents for fruit yield per plant. In the presence of overdominance for yield, reciprocal recurrent selection is the best recurrent scheme to develop hybrids.

#### **DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)**

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative Al technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of this manuscript.

#### **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

#### **REFERENCES**

1. Hayman Bl. The analysis of variance of diallel tables. Biometrics. 1954;10(2):235-244.

- Yadav K, Dhankhar SK, Singh D, Singh U, Amit and Yogita. Exploitation of combining ability and heterosis potential for improvement in okra (*Abelmoschus* esculentus) genotypes. The Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2023;93(2):127– 132.
- Rasheed SM, Ali T, Jan S, Rehman F, Shah MA, Hussain Z, Waheed A. Combining ability and genetic analysis of morphological and yield related traits in Abelmoschus esculentus L. Sarhad Journal of Agriculture. 2024;40(1):11-21.
- 4. Singh S, Singh B, Pal AK. Line x tester analysis of combining ability in okra. Indian J. Hort. 2006;63(4):397-401
- 5. Vachhani JH, Shekhat HG, Kachhadia VH, Jivani LL, Padhar PR. Heterosis and inbreeding depression in okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L. Moench.). Res. on Crops. 2011;12(2):556-560.
- 6. Mrinmoy D, Asif M, Venkatesha KT, Vijaya Kumar KV. Nature of gene action in okra through diallel analysis. Asian J. Bio Sci. 2013;8(1):145-146.
- 7. Maurya BK, Singh DP, Singh MK, Maurya SK, Mishra UK. Diallel Analysis to Investigate the Nature of Gene Action in Okra [Abelmoschus esculentus (L.) Moench]. International Journal of Plant & Soil Science. 2022;34(22):762-768.
- 8. Robinson HF. Quantitative genetics in relation to breeding on centennial of Mendalism. Indian J. Genet. 1966; 26(A):171-187.

- Yohanna M. Heterosis and combining ability estimates for okra (Abelmoschus esculentus L. Moench) varieties for yield attributing characters in Sudan savanna of Nigeria. Agrosearch. 2023;22(1):13-28.
- Kousalya R, Priya RS, Pugalendhi L, Karthikeyan G, Manivannan N. Combining ability analysis for yield and yield contributing traits in okra. The Pharma Inno. J. 2021;10(10):2215-2218.
- Anyaoha CO, Oyetunde OA, Oguntolu OO. Diallel analysis of selected yieldcontributing traits in Okra [Abelmoschus esculentus (L.) Moench]. Advances in Hort. Sci. 2022;36(2):97-106.
- 2. Suganthi S, Priya RS, Kamaraj A, Satheeshkumar P, Bhuvaneswari R. Combining ability studies in bhendi (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) moench) through diallel analysis for yield and yield attributing characters. Plant Archives. 2020;20(1):3609-3613.
- Mudhalvan S, Senthilkumar N. Combining ability, reciprocal effects and heterosis for fruit yield characters in okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench). J. Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2021;10(1):96-101.
- Kumar A, Gaurav SS, Shiri T. Combining ability studies in okra (Abelmoschus esculentus (L.) moench) through diallel analysis for yield and yield attributing characters. The Pharma Innovation. 2021; 10(5):480-485.

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/121892