



---

## **Determination of Maturity Indices for Harvesting of ber (*Ziziphus mauritiana* Lamk.)**

**Vartika Singh <sup>a\*</sup> and Bhagwan Deen <sup>a</sup>**

<sup>a</sup> College of Horticulture and Forestry, ANDUA&T, Kumarganj, Ayodhya 224 229, Uttar Pradesh, India.

### **Authors' contributions**

*This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.*

### **Article Information**

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2022/v34i2231357

### **Open Peer Review History:**

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/89743>

**Original Research Article**

**Received 21 May 2022**  
**Accepted 26 July 2022**  
**Published 01 August 2022**

---

### **ABSTRACT**

Indian jujube or ber (*Ziziphus mauritiana* Lamk.) is an important hardy underutilised fruit crop of arid and semi-arid regions of India, symbolizing the production at a minimal cost. Ber fruits are potent source of nutritional as well as medicinal values and are generally consumed fresh. Ber fruits follow non-climacteric respiratory pattern. Fruits that are allowed to ripen on the tree typically have a limited shelf life and the ideal results are obtained if they are picked before the onset of ripening. Fruit harvesting at the appropriate stage of maturity is critical for both quality control and marketing. The time taken by ber fruit to mature from fruit set to maturity depends upon cultivar as well as location. Immature fruits lack desirable sweetness and flavour while over ripe fruits quickly lose their attractiveness and crispiness with slimy texture. Hence, an experiment was conducted to determine the optimum maturity indices for harvesting Indian jujube during 2019-20. Cultivar selected for this experiment was Narendra Ber Selection-2. The fruits on tagged current season shoots were harvested 21, 36, 51, 66, 81, 96, 111, 126, 141, 156, 171 and 186 days after fruit setting. Fruit colour, shape, specific gravity, total soluble solids (%), T.S.S.: Acid ratio and organoleptic score of fruits were recorded at 15 days interval after fruit set. The results revealed that ber fruit of cultivar NBS-2 matures for harvesting between 171 to 186 days after fruit setting i.e., between 29<sup>th</sup> February to 15<sup>th</sup> March when fruits attain yellowish to green colour, 0.95-0.92 specific gravity, ovate shape, 17.29-17.94 T.S.S. and 123.72-128.37 T.S.S.: Acid ratio with organoleptic score of 7.5 to 8.0 range.

**Keywords:** Indian jujube; maturity indices; specific gravity; total soluble solids; Organoleptic score.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Indian jujube or ber (*Ziziphus mauritiana* Lam.) is an important hardy underutilised fruit crop of arid and semi-arid regions of India that belongs to the rhamnaceae family. Since ripe ber is typically consumed as fresh, they have significant potential for processed into diversified value-added products such as candy, syrup, squash and powder form [1]. Indian jujubes are rich source of micronutrient (Fe) and macronutrient (Ca, K, P) including provitamin A, vitamin C and B complex. It can be widely cultivated and flourish even in the most marginal subtropical and tropical ecosystems [2]. It is native to area stretching from India to South Western China and Malaysia. Narendra Ber Selection-2 is late maturing cultivar yielding 12-14t/ha fruits per plant. Shelf life and quality of fruit can be improved by harvesting at appropriate maturity [3]. Therefore, determination of maturity at harvest act as crucial factor that affects fruit quality and storage life. Immature fruits are more prone to shrivelling and mechanical damage, and have inferior quality on ripening whereas overripe fruits are likely to become soft, loose and mealy with an insipid flavour [4]. Fruits harvested too soon or too late are more susceptible to physiological disorders and have limited storage life than fruits harvested at the appropriate maturity [5] and [6]. Ber fruits have non-climacteric respiratory pattern. Fruits that are allowed to ripen on the tree often have a short shelf life, so it is essential to harvest prior to ripening [7] and [8]. Determining maturity indices aids in ensuring nutritional and sensory quality (flavour, colour, aroma, and texture) ensuring an optimum shelf-life, facilitating harvest and packing operations. Therefore, it is crucial to harvest Indian jujube fruits at the right stage of maturity to ensure optimum market life of harvested fruits with better fruit quality. Hence, an experiment was conducted with the objective of determining the maturity indices for harvesting of Indian jujube.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted at Main Experiment Station, Department of Fruit Science, Acharya Narendra Deva University of Agriculture and Technology, Kumarganj, Ayodhya, Uttar Pradesh, India, during 2019-20. The 27 years old uniform vigorous ber trees of Narendra Ber Selection-2 were selected. The trees received uniform cultural operation throughout the

experiment. The trial was laid out in a completely randomized design (CRD) with four replications. The four fruits from each replication were randomly selected after fruit set regularly for physico-chemical analysis at 15 days interval. The specific gravity of four randomly selected fruits was computed by dividing the fresh weight of the fruits by volume of fruits and expressed in (g/cc). Total soluble solid (T.S.S.) of the juice was determined by using a hand Refractometer of 0-32 per cent range. The values were corrected at 20 °C and expressed as (°Brix) T.S.S. of the fruit pulp [9]. T.S.S.:acid ratio was determined by dividing the TSS with Titrable acidity. The fruit shape and colour were observed visually during fruit growth and development. Organoleptic test was conducted by the panel of five judges who tested the fruits on each sampling date. Samples were collected early in the morning and brought to the laboratory where they were tested immediately. The scoring was recorded by the judges. To present qualitative difference in taste quantitatively, to permit statistical analysis, the organoleptic quality was scored on the following 9.0-point Hedonic Rating scale [10]. Mean taste of the four replicates as given by organoleptic score indicated in proforma, was calculated.

### 2.1 Statistical Analysis

The data on various characters studied during investigation were analysed statistically applying the analysis of variance procedures outlined by Panse and Sukhatme [11]. Critical Differences were worked out at five percent probability level. The results have also been depicted graphically wherever necessary to explain the findings.


## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 3.1 Specific Gravity


The data pertaining to specific gravity of various stages of fruit growth and development are presented in Table 1 and showed pictorially in Fig. 1. The maximum specific gravity of fruits was observed 2.45 at 51 days which gradually decreased to 0.92 at 186 days after fruit set. The accumulation of more metabolites and higher weight gain at faster rate than increase in volume may be the causes of the increase in specific gravity. This is consistent with those of Meel et al. [12] and Pandey et al. [13] who recommended that fruits should have a specific gravity less than 1 for harvest maturity.

Table 1. Changes in fruiting attributes to determine harvesting index for fruit harvesting

| Interval (days) |          | Specific gravity | Fruit shape | Total Soluble Solids (%) | T.S.: Acid ratio | Fruit color     | Organoleptic score | Rating                   |
|-----------------|----------|------------------|-------------|--------------------------|------------------|-----------------|--------------------|--------------------------|
| 21              | October  | 1.07             | Ovate       | 1.04                     | 2.67             | Green           | -                  | -                        |
| 36              |          | 1.48             | Ovate       | 1.43                     | 3.93             | Green           | -                  | -                        |
| 51              |          | 2.45             | Ovate       | 1.50                     | 4.57             | Green           | -                  | -                        |
| 66              | November | 1.18             | Ovate       | 2.48                     | 8.11             | Green           | -                  | -                        |
| 81              |          | 1.16             | Ovate       | 2.98                     | 12.06            | Green           | -                  | -                        |
| 96              | December | 1.04             | Ovate       | 3.25                     | 14.28            | Green           | -                  | -                        |
| 111             |          | 1.01             | Ovate       | 4.38                     | 24.15            | Green           | 4                  | Neither like nor dislike |
| 126             | January  | 1.03             | Ovate       | 10.10                    | 60.57            | Green           | 6                  | Like slightly            |
| 141             |          | 1.02             | Ovate       | 15.05                    | 95.10            | Green           | 6                  | Like slightly            |
| 156             | February | 1.02             | Ovate       | 15.58                    | 102.53           | Lime green      | 7                  | Like Moderately          |
| 171             |          | 0.95             | Ovate       | 17.29                    | 123.72           | Yellowish green | 7.5                | Like Moderately          |
| 186             | March    | 0.92             | Ovate       | 17.94                    | 128.37           | Yellowish green | 8                  | Like very much           |
| <b>SEm±</b>     |          | <b>0.23</b>      |             | <b>0.11</b>              | <b>1.07</b>      |                 | <b>0.06</b>        |                          |
| <b>CD at 5%</b> |          | <b>0.67</b>      |             | <b>0.34</b>              | <b>0.34</b>      |                 | <b>0.18</b>        |                          |



**Fig. 1. Changes in specific gravity during growth and development of ber fruit**



**Fig. 2. Changes in Total Soluble Solids during growth and development of ber fruit cv. NBS-2**




Fig. 3. Changes in T.S.S. and Acid ratio during growth and development of ber fruit cv. NBS-2




Fig. 4. Changes in Organoleptic score during growth and development of ber fruit NBS-2

### 3.2 Shape

Shape of ber fruit cv. NBS-2 was found ovate in all the stages of fruit growth and development. Similar observation was recorded by Godi et al. [14].

### 3.3 Total Soluble Solids

Total Soluble Solids [Table 1; Fig. 2] manifested an increasing trend during the fruit growth and development and 17.94% was recorded at harvest maturity (186th days). An increase in total soluble solids is the outcome of the hydrolysis of polysaccharides into sugar and the synthesis of other water solubles. This is in agreement with the study to Kumari et al. [15] who observed that the ber cv. Sanaur-4 recorded the maximum T.S.S (15.750 °Brix) at maturity. Almost similar observations were concluded by Daulta and Chauhan [16], Ghosh and Mathew [17], Kassem et al. [18] and Sakharam and Joshi [19] who narrated that T.S.S. at maturity is about 18% in cv. Kaithli, 14.8% in cv. Umran and 14.5% in cv. Pu-Yun jujube and 21.70 °Brix in cv. Sanaur number 3 respectively.

### 3.4 T.S.S.: Acid Ratio

The T.S.S.: Acid ratio showing increasing trend from fruit set till maturity. It increased from 2.67 on 21th day after fruit setting to 128.37 on 186th day at maturity and the changes were found to be significant. This is in corroboration with the findings of Bhatia and Gupta [20] who narrated that T.S.S.: acid ratio at maturity should be 93-100 for ber cv. Umran. Meel, O.P. and Chharia, A.S. [21] observed value 128.10. T.S.S.: acid ratio at maturity for ber cv. Mundia Murahara. Similar conclusion was drawn by Islam et al. [22]

### 3.5 Colour

The data regarding to the colour of ber fruit during its growth and development are presented in Table 1. The immature ber fruit was found to be green in colour from the stage of 21 days to 141 days after fruit setting. Thereafter colour of fruit changes to lime green colour on 156th day and then yellowish green colour of fruit was observed during onset of ripening that is at an interval (days) of 171 to 186. The results are in line with the findings of Singh et al. [23], Siddiqui and Gupta [24], Al-Niami et al. [25] and Godi et al. [14].

### 3.6 Organoleptic Score

A perusal of data (Table 1) revealed that organoleptic quality of fruit according to 9-Point Hedonic Scale showed qualitative change and influencing taste of fruits from starting to end of observations. The changes in organoleptic score indicates that fruits edible acceptance was increased as fruits proceeded towards maturity and fruit attained 7.5 and 8.0 score on 171 and 186 days after fruit setting, respectively. The more than 7 score shows that fruit possess edible quality on 171 days after fruit setting onward (Fig. 4) and can be used for processing and table purpose. The results are in line with the findings of Islam et al. [22].

## 4. CONCLUSION

From above findings it can be concluded that fruits of ber cv. NBS-2 attains harvesting maturity between 171 and 186 days after fruit setting i.e., between February 29th and March 15th, when fruits acquire ovate shape with yellowish to green colour, 0.95-0.92 specific gravity, 17.29-17.94 T.S.S. and 123.72-128.37 T.S.S.: Acid ratio with organoleptic score ranging from 7.5 to 8.0. Thus, the above-mentioned parameters used as recommended physical, biochemical or sensory attributes to determine optimum maturity indices for harvesting of ber fruits at appropriate time.

## 5. RECOMMENDATION

Ber fruits should not be harvested all at once, and several pickings may be required to complete the harvest. Therefore, it is preferable to harvest fruit at the colour turning stage rather than the mature green or overripe stage with an ovate shape. The ber cv. NBS-2 fruit reaches harvesting maturity between February 29 and March 15th, or 171 to 186 days following fruit setting.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I extend my sincere thanks to Prof. (Dr.) Bhagwan Deen (major advisor) and to my advisory committee members for giving me proper guidance throughout the course of study. I also sincerely thank to the Department of Fruit Science, College of Horticulture and Forestry, ANDUA&T, for providing necessary laboratory facilities.

## COMPETING INTERESTS

Author has declared that no competing interests exist.

## REFERENCES

- Pareek S, Kaushik RA, Rathore NS, Rathore Jr NS. Ber (*Ziziphus mauritiana* Lamk.): Postharvest handling and processing technology. Technical Bul. 3. National Agricultural Innovation Project, Depart. Hort, Rajasthan College of Agriculture, Udaipur; 2010.
- Pareek OP. Ber. International Centre for Underutilized Crops. Southampton, UK. 2001;13.
- Gupta OP, Kadam SS. Ber. In: Salunkhe DK and Kadam SS (Eds) Handbook of Fruit Science and Technology. Marcel Dekker Inc New York. 1995;387.
- Abdel-Sattar, Mahmoud, Almutairi, Khalid, Al-Saif, Adel, Ahmed, Khaled. Fruit properties during the harvest period of eleven Indian jujube (*Ziziphus mauritiana* Lamk.) cultivars. Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences. 2021;28:3424-3432. DOI:10.1016/j.sjbs.2021.03.006
- Kader AA. Fruit maturity, ripening and quality relationships. In: Michalezula (Ed.) Proceedings of international symposium on effect of pre and Postharvest factors on storage of fruits. 1999;203–208.
- Kader AA. Postharvest biology and technology: an overview. In: AA Kader (Ed.) Postharvest Technology of Horticultural Crops. Agriculture and Natural Resources Publication 3311, University of California, Davis. 2002;15–20.
- Al-Niami JH, Abbas MF. The effect of temperature on certain chemical changes and the storage behaviour of jujube fruits (*Ziziphus spina-christi* L. Wild.). Journal of Horticultural Science. 1998;63:723–724.
- Al-Niami JH, Abbas MF, Asker MA. The effect of temperature on some chemical constituents and storage behaviour of jujube fruit cv. Zayoui. Basrah Journal of Agricultural Science. 1989;2:31–36.
- Ranganna S. Handbook of analysis and quality control for fruit and vegetable products. (Tata McGraw–Hill Education Pvt. Ltd.), New Delhi; 2010.
- Amerine MA, Pangborn RM, Roessler EB. Principles of sensory evaluation of food. Academic Press:London. 1965;236-268.
- Panse VG, Sukhatme PV. Statistical method of agricultural workers. ICAR Publication. New Delhi; 1967.
- Meel OP, Chharia AS, Kumar R, Joon MS. Physico-chemical changes in the fruit of Sandhura Narnaul ber (*Ziziphus mauritiana* Lamk.) during growth and development. Haryana Journal of Horticultural Science. 1991;20:65–72.
- Pandey S, Deen B, Singh V, Singh R. Studies on the pattern of changes in physical character of developing ber (*Ziziphus Mauritiana* Lamk.) fruits. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2019;8(4):644-48.
- Godi NF, Joshi VR. Physical fruit characteristics assessment of selected Ber (*Zizyphus mauritiana* Lamk.) Genotypes. International Journal of Applied Research. 2016;2(2):757-761.
- Kumari S, Bhat DJ, Wali VK, Bakshi P, Jasrotia A. Physico-chemical studies of different ber (*Zizyphus mauritiana* Lamk.) germplasm under rainfed conditions of Jammu. The Bioscan. 2015;10 (3):1427–1430.
- Daulta BS, Chauhan KS. Ber- A fruit with rich food value. Indian Horticulture. 1982;27:7-9.
- Ghosh, S.N, Mathew, B. Performance of nine ber cultivars (*Zizyphus mauritiana* Lamk.) on top working in semi-arid region of West Bengal. J. Appl. Horticulture. 2002;4 (1):49–51.
- Kassem HA, Al-Obeed RS, Ahmed MA, Omar AKH. Productivity, fruit quality and profitability of jujube trees improvement by preharvest application of agro-chemicals. Middle-East J. Sci. Res. 2011;9 (5):628–637.
- Sakharam SS, Joshi VR. Evaluation of Ber (*Zizyphus mauritiana* Lamk.) genotypes for morphological and physico-chemical characters. M.Sc. (Horticulture) Thesis. Mahatha Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, Rahuri; 2019.
- Bhatia SK, Gupta OP. Chemical changes during development and repining of ber fruits. Punjab Horticultural Journal. 1985;24:70- 74.
- Meel OP, Chharia AS. Physico-Chemical Changes during growth and development of ber (*Ziziphus mauritiana* Lamk.). M.Sc. (Agriculture) Thesis. College of Agriculture Chaudhary Charan Singh Haryana Agricultural University Hisar; 1987.

22. Islam M, Molla M, Nasrin T, Uddin M, Kobra K. Determination of maturity indices of Ber (*Zizyphus mauritiana* Lamk.) var. Bari Kul-2. Bangladesh Journal of Agricultural Research. 2015;40: 163.
23. Singh BP, Singh SP, Chauhan KS. Certain chemical changes and rate of respiration in different cultivars of ber during ripening. Haryana Agricultural University Journal of Research. 1981;11(1):60-64.
24. Siddiqui S, Gupta OP. Determination of maturity standards of ber (*Zizyphus mauritiana* Lamk.) fruits. Haryana Agricultural University Journal of Research. 1989;19(3):260-262.
25. Al-Niami JH, Saggar RAM, Abbas M.F. The physiology of ripening of jujube fruit (*Zizyphus spin- christi* L. Wild.). Scientia Horticulturae. 1992;51:303-308. DOI:10.1016/0304-4238(92)90128-Y.

---

© 2022 Singh and Deen; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

*Peer-review history:*

*The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:*  
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/89743>