



## Generalized $(\alpha, \beta)$ -higher Derivations on Lie Ideals of Rings with Involution

Abdul Nadim Khan<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Arts-Rabigh, King Abdulaziz University, Saudi Arabia.

### Author's contribution

The sole author designed, analyzed, interpreted and prepared the manuscript.

### Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JAMCS/2019/v31i230110

Editor(s):

(1) Dr. Raducanu Razvan, Assistant Professor, Department of Applied Mathematics, Al. I. Cuza University, Romania.

Reviewers:

(1) I. Kosi-Ulbl, University of Maribor, Slovenia.

(2) Maria Isabel Garcia-Planas, Universitat Politcnica de Catalunya, Spain.

Complete Peer review History: <http://www.sdiarticle3.com/review-history/45830>

Received: 28 September 2018

Accepted: 06 December 2018

Published: 23 March 2019

Original Research Article

## Abstract

In this manuscript, we investigate the behaviour of additive mappings which satisfy a functional identity associated with generalized  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -higher derivations on Lie ideals of a prime ring with involution. As the consequences of our main theorem, many well known results can be deduced.

Keywords: Involution; \*-closed Lie ideal;  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -higher derivation; generalized  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -higher derivation.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 16W10, 16W25, 16N60

## 1 Introduction

Hasse and Schmidt [1] were who extended the concept of derivations to higher derivations. More precisely, they supplied that  $D = \{d_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , a family of additive mappings on  $R$ , is said to be a higher derivation (resp. Jordan higher derivation) on  $R$  if  $d_0 = I_R$  and  $d_n(xy) = \sum_{i+j=n} d_i(x)d_j(y)$

(resp.  $d_n(x^2) = \sum_{i+j=n} d_i(x)d_j(x)$ ) for all  $x, y \in R$  and for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . It is easy to see that the first member of each higher derivation is itself a derivation. More related result can be find in [2]. Later

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: [abdulnadimkhan@gmail.com](mailto:abdulnadimkhan@gmail.com)

on, Cortes and Haetinger [3] defined generalized higher derivations. A family  $F = (f_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  of additive mapping of a ring  $R$  such that  $f_0 = I_R$  is said to be a generalized higher derivation (resp. generalized Jordan higher derivation) of  $R$  if there exists a higher derivation (resp. Jordan higher derivation)  $D = \{d_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $f_n(xy) = \sum_{i+j=n} f_i(x)d_j(y)$  (resp.  $f_n(x^2) = \sum_{i+j=n} f_i(x)d_j(x)$ ) holds for all  $x, y \in R$ . Obviously, every generalized higher derivation is a generalized Jordan higher derivation but the converse need not to be true. The converse have been proved in [3] for square closed Lie ideals of a prime ring. Later, Wei and Xiao [4] established this result for a 2-torsion free semiprime ring. For an account on higher derivations, we refer the reader to [5, 6, 7]. In 2010, Ashraf et al [6] introduced the concept of  $(\theta, \phi)$ -higher derivations as follows: A family  $D$  of additive mappings  $d_n$  on  $R$  is said to be a  $(\theta, \phi)$ -higher derivation of  $R$  if  $d_0 = I_R$  and  $d_n(xy) = \sum_{i+j=n} d_i(\theta^{n-i}(x))d_j(\phi^{n-j}(y))$  for all  $x, y \in R$  and for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Further, in [5], Ashraf and Khan have acquainted the concept of generalized  $(\theta, \phi)$ -higher derivations and proved that every generalized Jordan  $(\theta, \phi)$ -higher derivations is a generalized  $(\theta, \phi)$ -higher derivation on Lie ideals of a prime ring  $R$ .

In [8], Herstein proved that if a simple ring with  $char(R) \neq 2$  and  $dim \geq 4$  admitting additive map  $d : R \rightarrow R$  such that  $d(xx^*) = d(x)x^* + xd(x)$  for all  $x \in R$ , then  $d$  must be a derivation. Later Daif and El-Sayiad [9] obtained the following result: Let  $R$  be a 2-torsion free semiprime  $*$ -ring and  $F : R \rightarrow R$  be an additive mapping associated with a derivation  $d$  on  $R$  such that  $F(xx^*) = F(x)x^* + xd(x^*)$  holds for all  $x \in R$ . Then  $F$  is a generalized Jordan derivation. In the same manner in [10], Ashraf et al. established Daif and El-Sayiad result in more general form and proved the following: Let  $R$  be a 2-torsion free semiprime  $*$ -ring. Suppose that  $\alpha, \beta$  are endomorphisms of  $R$  such that  $\alpha$  is an automorphism of  $R$ . If there exists an additive mapping  $F : R \rightarrow R$  associated with a  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -derivation  $d$  of  $R$  such that  $F(xx^*) = F(x)\alpha(x^*) + \beta(x)d(x^*)$  holds for all  $x \in R$ , then  $F(xy) = F(x)\alpha(y) + \beta(x)d(y)$  for all  $x, y \in R$ . In 2015, Ezzat [14] have studied aforementioned results on generalized higher derivations.

Very recently, Husain et al. [11] extended Ezzat result for generalized  $(\theta, \phi)$ -higher derivations on a semiprime ring with involution. In the present paper, we study above mentioned theorem in the setting of generalized  $(\theta, \phi)$ -higher derivations on Lie ideals of a prime ring with involution.

## 2 Preliminaries and Main Result

Throughout this article, unless otherwise mentioned,  $R$  will denote an associative ring. A ring endowed with involution  $*$  is called a ring with involution or  $*$ -ring. For basic definitions and notations we refer the reader to [12] and [8]. An additive subgroup  $U$  of  $R$  is said to be a Lie ideal of  $R$  if  $[u, r] \in U$ , for all  $u \in U$  and  $r \in R$ .  $U$  is also called  $*$ -closed if  $U^* = U$ .

We begin our discussion with following key lemma which will be extensively used to prove the main result.

**Lemma 2.1.** [11, Lemma 1.2] *Let  $R$  be a prime ring with involution with  $char(R) \neq 2$  and  $U$  be a non central  $*$ -closed Lie ideal of  $R$  such that  $u^2 \in U$ , for all  $u \in U$ . Suppose that  $\alpha$  is an automorphism of  $U$ . If there exists an element  $a \in U$  such that  $a\alpha(u^*) = \alpha(u)a$  holds for all  $u \in U$ , then  $a \in Z(R)$ .*

The main result of the present paper is the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $R$  be a prime ring of characteristic different from two with involution and  $U$  be a square close Lie ideal on  $R$ . Suppose there exists a family of additive mappings  $F = (f_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  of  $U$  into  $R$  associated with some  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -higher derivation  $D = (d_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  of  $U$  into  $R$ , where  $\alpha, \beta$  are*

commuting automorphisms on  $R$ , such that  $f_n(uv^*) = \sum_{i+j=n} f_i(\alpha^{n-i}(u))d_j(\beta^{n-j}(u^*))$  is fulfilled for each  $n \in N$  and for all  $u \in U$ . Then,  $F$  is a generalized  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -higher derivation on  $U$  into  $R$ .

*Proof.* Our hypothesis is

$$f_n(uv^*) = \sum_{i+j=n} f_i(\beta^{n-i}(u))d_j(\alpha^{n-j}(u^*)) \tag{2.1}$$

for all  $u \in U$ . Linearization of above expression yields

$$\begin{aligned} f_n(uv^* + vu^*) &= \sum_{i+j=n} f_i(\beta^{n-i}(u))d_j(\alpha^{n-j}(v^*)) \\ &+ \sum_{i+j=n} f_i(\beta^{n-i}(v))d_j(\alpha^{n-j}(u^*)) \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

for all  $u, v \in U$ . Taking  $v = u^*$ , we get

$$\eta_n(u) + \eta_n(u^*) = 0 \tag{2.3}$$

for all  $u \in U$ , where  $\eta_n(u)$  stands for  $f_n(u^2) - \sum_{i+j=n} f_i(\beta^{n-i}(u))d_j(\alpha^{n-j}(u))$ . Our aim is to show  $\eta_n(u) = 0$  for all  $u \in U$ . To show  $\eta_n(u) = 0$ , we prosecute by induction. If  $n = 0$ , then it is easy to obtain  $\eta_0(u) = 0$  for all  $u \in U$ . If  $n = 1$ , then one can easily prove the result by following [13, Theorem 2.3]. Now suppose  $\eta_m(u) = 0$  for all  $u \in U$ , and for  $m < n$ . Set  $A = 2(f_n(u(uv^* + vu^*) + (uv^* + vu^*)u^*))$ . In view of (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} A &= 2\left(\sum_{i+j=n} f_i(\beta^{n-i}(u))d_j(\alpha^{n-j}(uv^* + vu^*)) + f_i(\beta^{n-i}(uv^* + vu^*))d_j(\alpha^{n-j}(u^*))\right) \\ &= 2\left(\sum_{i+j=n} f_i(\beta^{n-i}(u))\left(\sum_{p+q=j} d_p(\beta^{j-p}\alpha^{n-j}(u))d_q(\alpha^{j-q}\alpha^{n-j}(v^*))\right.\right. \\ &\quad \left.+\sum_{p+q=j} d_p(\beta^{j-p}\alpha^{n-j}(v))d_q(\alpha^{j-q}\alpha^{n-j}(u^*))\right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i+j=n} \left(\sum_{s+t=i} f_s(\beta^{i-s}\beta^{n-i}(u))d_t(\alpha^{i-t}\beta^{n-i}(v^*))\right. \\ &\quad \left.+\sum_{s+t=i} f_s(\beta^{i-s}\beta^{n-i}(v))d_t(\alpha^{i-t}\beta^{n-i}(u^*))\right)d_j(\alpha^{n-j}(u^*)) \\ &= 2\left(\sum_{i+j=n} f_i(\beta^{n-i}(u))\sum_{p+q=j} d_p(\beta^{j-p}\alpha^{n-j}(u))d_q(\alpha^{j-q}\alpha^{n-j}(v^*))\right. \\ &\quad + \sum_{i+j=n} f_i(\beta^{n-i}(u))\sum_{p+q=j} d_p(\beta^{j-p}\alpha^{n-j}(v))d_q(\alpha^{j-q}\alpha^{n-j}(u^*)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i+j=n} \sum_{s+t=i} f_s(\beta^{i-s}\beta^{n-i}(u))d_t(\alpha^{i-t}\beta^{n-i}(v^*))d_j(\alpha^{n-j}(u^*)) \\ &\quad \left.+\sum_{i+j=n} \sum_{s+t=i} f_s(\beta^{i-s}\beta^{n-i}(v))d_t(\alpha^{i-t}\beta^{n-i}(u^*))d_j(\alpha^{n-j}(u^*))\right) \end{aligned}$$

This can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
 A &= 2\left(\sum_{i+j=n} f_i(\beta^{n-i}(u)) \sum_{p+q=j} d_p(\beta^{j-p}\alpha^{n-j}(u))d_q(\alpha^{n-q}(v^*))\right) \\
 &+ \sum_{i+j=n} f_i(\beta^{n-i}(u)) \sum_{p+q=j} d_p(\beta^{j-p}\alpha^{n-j}(v))d_q(\alpha^{n-q}(u^*)) \\
 &+ \sum_{i+j=n} \sum_{s+t=i} f_s(\beta^{n-s}(u))d_t(\alpha^{i-t}\beta^{n-i}(v^*))d_j(\alpha^{n-j}(u^*)) \\
 &+ \sum_{i+j=n} \sum_{s+t=i} f_s(\beta^{n-s}(v))d_t(\alpha^{i-t}\beta^{n-i}(u^*))d_j(\alpha^{n-j}(u^*))
 \end{aligned}$$

In particular, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 A &= 2\left(\sum_{i+p=n} f_i(\beta^{n-i}(u))d_p(\alpha^{n-p}(u))\alpha^n(v^*)\right) \tag{2.4} \\
 &+ \sum_{\substack{i+p+q=n \\ i+p \neq n}} f_i(\beta^{n-i}(u))d_p(\beta^q\alpha^i(u))d_q(\alpha^{n-q}(v^*)) \\
 &+ \sum_{i+j+k=n} f_i(\beta^{n-i}(u))d_j(\beta^k\alpha^i(v+v^*))d_k(\alpha^{n-k}(u^*)) \\
 &+ \sum_{i+j=n} \sum_{s+t=i} f_s(\beta^{n-s}(v))d_t(\alpha^{i-t}\beta^{n-i}(u^*))d_j(\alpha^{n-j}(u^*))
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,  $A$  can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
 A &= 2(f_n(u(v+v^*)u^*) + f_n(u^2v^* + v(u^*)^2)) \\
 &= 2(f_n(u(v+v^*)u^*) + \sum_{i+j=n} f_i(\beta^{n-i}(u^2))d_j(\alpha^{n-j}(v^*)) \\
 &+ \sum_{i+j=n} f_i(\beta^{n-i}(v))d_j(\alpha^{n-j}(u^*)^2)) \\
 A &= 2(f_n(u(v+v^*)u^*) + f_n(u^2)\alpha^n(v^*)) \tag{2.5} \\
 &+ \sum_{\substack{i+p+q=n \\ i+p \neq n}} f_i(\beta^{n-i}(u))d_p(\beta^q\alpha^i(u))d_q(\alpha^{n-q}(v^*)) \\
 &+ \sum_{i+j=n} f_i(\beta^{n-i}(v)) \sum_{k+l=j} d_k(\alpha^{j-k}\beta^{n-j}(u^*))d_l\alpha^{n-l}(u^*)
 \end{aligned}$$

Compare (2.4) and (2.5) and use the fact that the characteristic of  $R$  is different from two, we get

$$f_n(u(v+v^*)u^*) = -\eta_n(u)\alpha^n(v^*) + \sum_{i+j+k=n} f_i(\beta^{n-i}(u))d_j(\beta^k\alpha^i(v+v^*))d_k(\alpha^{n-k}(u^*))$$

Taking  $v$  as  $v - v^*$  gives

$$\eta_n(u)\alpha^n(v^*) = \eta_n(u)\alpha^n(v) \tag{2.6}$$

In view of Lemma 2.1, we get  $\eta_n(u) \in Z(R)$  for all  $u \in U$ .

Next, putting  $v$  as  $v^*$  in (2.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_n(uv + v^*u^*) &= \sum_{i+j=n} f_i(\beta^{n-i}(u))d_j(\alpha^{n-j}(v)) \tag{2.7} \\
 &+ \sum_{i+j=n} f_i(\beta^{n-i}(v^*))d_j(\alpha^{n-j}(u^*))
 \end{aligned}$$

Replacing  $v$  by  $2uv$  in above expression and using the fact that the characteristic of  $R$  is different from two, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_n(u^2v + v^*u^{*2}) &= \sum_{i+j=n} f_i(\beta^{n-i}(u))d_j(\alpha^{n-j}(uv)) \\
 &+ \sum_{i+j=n} f_i(\beta^{n-i}(v^*u^*))d_j(\alpha^{n-j}(u^*)) \\
 &= \sum_{i+j=n} f_i(\beta^{n-i}(u)) \sum_{p+q=j} d_p(\beta^{j-p}\alpha^{n-j}(u))d_q(\alpha^{j-q}\alpha^{n-j}(v)) \\
 &+ \sum_{i+j=n} f_i(\beta^{n-i}(v^*u^*))d_j(\alpha^{n-j}(u^*)) \\
 &= \sum_{i+p=n} f_i(\beta^{n-i}(u))d_p(\alpha^{n-p}(u))\alpha^n(v) \\
 &+ \sum_{\substack{i+p+q=n \\ i+p \neq n}} f_i(\beta^{n-i}(u))d_p(\beta^q\alpha^i(u))d_q(\alpha^{n-q}(v)) \\
 &+ f_n(v^*u^*)\alpha^n(u^*) + \sum_{\substack{i+j=n \\ i \neq n}} f_i(\beta^{n-i}(v^*u^*))d_j(\alpha^{n-j}(u^*)).
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, replacement of  $u$  by  $u^2$  in (2.7) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_n(u^2v + v^*u^{*2}) &= \sum_{i+j=n} f_i(\beta^{n-i}(u^2))d_j(\alpha^{n-j}(v)) + \sum_{i+j=n} f_i(\beta^{n-i}(v^*))d_j(\alpha^{n-j}(u^{*2})) \\
 &= f_n(u^2)\alpha^n(v) + \sum_{\substack{i+p+q=n \\ i \neq n}} f_i(\beta^{n-i}(u))d_p(\beta^q\alpha^i(u))d_q(\alpha^{n-q}(v)) \\
 &+ \sum_{\substack{s+t+j=n \\ s+t \neq n}} f_s(\beta^{n-s}(v^*))d_t(\alpha^j\beta^s(u^*))d_j\alpha^{n-j}(u^*) \\
 &+ \sum_{s+t=n} f_s(\beta^{n-s}(v^*))d_t(\alpha^{n-t}(u^*))\alpha^n(u^*)
 \end{aligned}$$

In view of the last two expressions, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &= \eta_n(u)\alpha^n(v) + \left(-f_n(v^*u^*) + \sum_{s+t=n} f_s(\beta^{n-s}(v^*))d_t(\alpha^{n-t}(u^*))\right)\alpha^n(U^*) \\
 &- \sum_{\substack{s+t+j=n \\ s+t \neq n}} f_s(\beta^{n-s}(v^*))d_t(\alpha^j\beta^s(u^*))d_j\alpha^{n-j}(u^*) - \sum_{\substack{i+j=n \\ i \neq n}} f_i(\beta^{n-i}(v^*u^*))d_j(\alpha^{n-j}(u^*))
 \end{aligned}$$

In particular for,  $v = u$  gives

$$\eta_n(u)\alpha^n(u) - \eta_n(u^*)\alpha^n(u^*) = 0 \tag{2.8}$$

for all  $u \in U$ . From (2.3), we have

$$\eta_n(u)\alpha^n(u) + \eta_n(u)\alpha^n(u^*) = 0 \tag{2.9}$$

for all  $u \in U$ . Replacing  $v$  by  $u$  in (2.6), we obtain

$$\eta_n(u)\alpha^n(u) - \eta_n(u)\alpha^n(u^*) = 0 \tag{2.10}$$

for all  $u \in U$ . Combining above expression with (2.9), we get

$$\eta_n(u)\alpha^n(u) = 0 \tag{2.11}$$

for all  $u \in U$ . Linearization of (2.11) gives

$$\eta_n(u)\alpha^n(v) + \mu(u, v)\alpha^n(u) + \eta_n(v)\alpha^n(u) + \mu(u, v)\alpha^n(v) = 0$$

for all  $u \in U$ , where  $\mu(u, v) = f_n(uv+vu) - \sum_{i+j=n} f_i(\beta^{n-i}(u))d_j(\alpha^{n-j}(v)) + \sum_{i+j=n} f_i(\beta^{n-i}(v))d_j(\alpha^{n-j}(u))$   
 for all  $u, v \in U$ . Putting  $u$  by  $-u$  in above expression and combining with it, we get

$$\eta_n(u)\alpha^n(v) + \mu(u, v)\alpha^n(u) = 0$$

for all  $u, v \in U$ . On right multiplying by  $\eta_n(u)$  and using (2.11) and Lemma 2.1, we get

$$\eta_n(u)\alpha^n(v)\eta_n(u) = 0$$

for all  $u, v \in U$ . Since  $\alpha^n$  is an automorphism, so we conclude that  $\eta_n(u) = 0$  for all  $u \in U$  i.e.,  $f_n(u^2) = \sum_{i+j=n} f_i(\beta^{n-i}(u))d_j(\alpha^{n-j}(u))$  for all  $u \in U$ . Therefore in view of [5] Theorem 2.1, we get the required result. This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

As special case of the above theorem, and which are of independent interests, are the following corollaries:

**Corollary 2.3.** [14, Theorem 2.3] *Let  $R$  be a 2-torsion free semiprime  $*$ -ring. Suppose there exists a family of additive mappings  $F = (f_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  of  $R$  associated with some higher derivation  $D = (d_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  of  $R$  such that  $f_0 = id_R$ , and the relation  $f_n(xx^*) = \sum_{i+j=n} f_i(x)d_j(x^*)$  is fulfilled for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and for all  $x \in R$ . Then,  $F$  is a generalized higher derivation.*

**Corollary 2.4.** *Let  $R$  be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring with involution. Suppose there exists a family of additive mappings  $D = (d_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  of  $R$ , where  $\alpha, \beta$  are commuting automorphisms on  $R$ , such that  $d_n(xx^*) = \sum_{i+j=n} d_i(\alpha^{n-i}(x))d_j(\beta^{n-j}(x^*))$  is fulfilled for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and for all  $x \in R$ . Then,  $d$  is a  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -higher derivation on  $R$ .*

### 3 Conclusions

This paper deals with the following  $*$ -differential identity  $f_n(xx^*) = \sum_{i+j=n} f_i(\alpha^{n-i}(x))d_j(\beta^{n-j}(x^*))$  on a square close Lie ideal  $u$  of a prime ring  $R$ , where  $F = (f_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  is a family of additive maps associated with  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -higher derivations of  $u$  into  $R$ . We inferred that  $F$  is a generalized  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -higher derivation of  $u$  into  $R$ .

### Acknowledgement

The author is greatly thankful to the referees for their valuable comments to improve the manuscript.

### Competing Interests

Author has declared that there is no competing interests exists.

### References

[1] Hasse H, Schmidt FK. Noch eine begr ü ndung der theorie der höheren differential quotienten in einem algebraaischen funktionenkörper einer unbestimmten. J. Reine Angew. Math. 1937;177:215–237.

- [2] Haetinger C. Derivações de ordem superior em anéis primos e semiprimos. Ph.D Thesis, IMUFRGS, UFRGS, Porto Alegre, Brazil.
- [3] Cortes W, Haetinger C. On Jordan generalized higher derivations in rings. Turk. J. Math. 2005;29:1–10.
- [4] Wei F, Xiao Z, Generalized Jordan derivations on semiprime rings and its applications in range inclusion problems. Mediter. J. Math. 2011;8(3):271-291.
- [5] Ashraf M, Khan A. Generalized  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -higher derivations in prime rings. SpringerPlus. 2012;31(1):1-9.
- [6] Ashraf M, Khan A, Haetinger C. On  $(\sigma, \tau)$ - higher derivations in prime rings. Int. Elec. J. Algebra. 2010;8:65-79.
- [7] Ferrero M, Haetinger C. Higher derivations and a theorem by Herstein. Quaest. Math. 2002;25:249–257.
- [8] Heirstein IN. Rings with involution. University of Chicago Press, Chicago; 1976.
- [9] Daif MN, El-Saiyad MS. On generalized derivations of Semiprime Rings with Involution. Int. J. Algebra. 2007;1(9-12):551-555.
- [10] Ashraf M, Rehman N, Ali S, Mozumder MR. On generalized  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -derivations in semiprime rings with involution. Math. Slov. 2012;62(3):451-460.
- [11] Alhazmi H, Ali S, Khan AN. Generalized  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -derivations in rings with involution. Maejo Int. J. Sci. & Tech; 2018 (preprint).
- [12] Heirstein IN. Topics in ring theory. University of Chicago Press, Chicago; 1969.
- [13] Ashraf M, Ali A, Ali S. On Lie ideals and generalized  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -derivations in prime rings. Comm. Algebra. 2004;32(8):2977-2985.
- [14] Ezzat OH. On generalized higher derivations on semiprime rings. Gulf J. Mathematics. 2015;1(3):47-54.

---

©2019 Khan; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

**Peer-review history:**

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here (Please copy paste the total link in your browser address bar)

<http://www.sdiarticle3.com/review-history/45830>